

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,846	06/27/2003	David B. Glasco	NWISP030	8732
22434 7	590 06/23/2006		EXAM	INER
BEYER WEA	AVER & THOMAS,	THAI, T	UAN V	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination	
	10/608,846	GLASCO, DAVID B.	
		Art Unit	
	Tuan Thai	2186	\Box
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revie	ew filed <u>5/17/06</u> .				
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	a conference will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred ☐ The request does not include reasons why a revice ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre- ☐ Other:	ew is appropriate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice					
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.					
The panel has determined the status of the clair Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	m(s) is as follows:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
4. Reopen Prosecution - A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by application.					
All participants:	. <i>M</i>				
(1) <u>Tuan Thai</u> .	(3) <u>Tuan Thai</u> .				
(2) Joseph Divon	(4)				